## Thermal Testing & Correlation in the Frequency Domain

Alexander van Oostrum, ATG Engineering

ESTEW 2024, Noordwijk





ATG EUROPE | ACCELERATING INNOVATION



## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work has been mainly performed by

- Najeeba Murtuzapurwala (TU Delft Student)
- Joris Feijen (ATG Engineering)

With the guidance and senior contribution from

Arturo González-Llana (ATG Engineering)

Special consideration to

- TU Delft for providing a masters student and TVAC facilities
- DHV Technology for sending us an in-house built MLI for the tests

# Question: Is frequency domain thermal testing a feasible method to perform or complement *some* thermal tests?



- Introduction, typical test objectives, why frequency domain?
- Proof of concept Testing and practical application on a basic thermal strap
- Application on a more complex model virtual testing
- Ongoing activities unlocking the real benefits, integration in real test setups
- Conclusions



TVAC remains a key milestone in TCS verification of space systems

#### Three main objectives

- Verification
- Qualification
- Correlation

## **TVAC OBJECTIVES**

Verification

- Vacuum is a key feature of the orbital environment
- No options to reduce time

Qualification

- Loads defined by thermal predictions
- Vacuum environment needed for system safety

Correlation

- Quasi-equilibrium required, balance phases long and limited configurations
- Risk of damaging the system when applying artificially temperature gradients for local correlation

Phase-shift  $\Delta \phi$  based correlation



#### Phase-shift $\Delta \phi$ based correlation

#### Advantages:

- Absolute temperature measurement accuracy is not important
  - $\rightarrow$ No need for quasi-equilibrium
  - →Small thermal loads suffice for correlation
  - $\rightarrow$  Mostly unsensitive to heat leaks
  - →Impact of local design/model features remains local
  - $\rightarrow$  Potential to correlate in ambient!



#### Phase-shift $\Delta \phi$ based correlation

#### **Advantages:**

- Absolute temperature measurement accuracy is not important
  - $\rightarrow$ No need for quasi-equilibrium
  - →Small thermal loads suffice for correlation
  - $\rightarrow$  Mostly unsensitive to heat leaks
  - →Impact of local design/model features remains local
  - $\rightarrow$  Potential to correlate in ambient!
- Ability to open to use the toolbox of "frequency analysis tools"
  - Frequency decomposition: performing multiple tests at the same time



#### Phase-shift $\Delta \phi$ based correlation

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Limited applicability
- Absolute temperature measurement accuracy is not needed
  Potentially unsensitive to heat leaks
- Environment may no longer be representative
- Accurate knowledge of thermal mass required
- May still require long test periods...



#### SAMPLE TVAC OSCILLATORY LOAD TEST



Test Parameters				
Oscillation Period	1500 s			
Power Amplitude	3 W			
Chamber Pressure	8.6x10 <sup>-3</sup> mbar			
Initial Chamber Temperature	27.7 °C			



## **SAMPLE TVAC OSCILLATORY LOAD CORRELATION**

#### Phase-shift base correlation

Correlated Model Parameters				
Thermal Contact Conductance [W/m <sup>2</sup> K]				
Feature A	1656			
Feature C	2080			
Heat Sink	800			
Strap Conductance Factor				
Feature B	0.475			



## **TVAC OSCILLATORY LOAD VS BALANCE CORRELATION**

Initial Model Parameters				
Thermal Contact Conductance [W/m <sup>2</sup> K]				
Feature A	1656			
Feature C	2080			
Heat Sink	800			
Strap Conductance Factor				
Feature B	0.475			
Heat Leaks [W/K]				
Heater plate to TVAC	0.037			



→ Frequency domain thermal correlations remain valid after adding heat leakages in the steady state correlation

## **TVAC OSCILLATORY LOAD VS BALANCE CORRELATION**

- Method works (as expected)
- Similar results between:
  - Ambient (outisde TVAC) <-> Ambient (inside TVAC) <-> TVAC
- Useful correlation data can be extracted from very low amplitude signals

Computational test  $\rightarrow$  Oscillatory load at  $10^{-4}Hz$ 

Data generated with model with unknown key features:
 -Random adjustments to typical uncertainty parameters
 -Artificial heat leakages added

Correlation based on a different configuration of the same model
 Only limited set of adjusted parameters considered

Analysis in both time domain and using ESATAN's frequency domain solver

#### Uncorrelated model

Sensor pairs



#### Reference model (random variations added)



#### Difference in phase shifts



#### Lower input frequency:

- Higher gain → Better measurability of output signal -Measurability can also be improved with increased heater amplitude
- Small phase shift in the frequency domain
- Larger phase shifts in time domain → Better measurability of phase shift



#### Higher input frequency:

• Faster oscillations  $\rightarrow$  shorter test duration



#### Units: seconds

ATG EUROPE | ACCELERATING INNOVATION



## In this model, this reached a correlation in thermal balance of 1°C or below

 Differences in phase shift between data and predictions below 0.5°C

Component	Reference [°C]	Correlated [°C]	Difference [°C]
Sensor pair 1	-53.3	-52.8	-0.5
	-63.0	-62.5	-0.5
	-72.5	-70.8	-1.7
	-58.1	-57.7	-0.4
	-52.9	-52.3	-0.6
	-51.8	-51.2	-0.6
Sensor pair 7	-57.9	-57.4	-0.7

- A "traditional" correlation process can also be applied
- Oscillation periods and amplitudes remain in a realistic range
- Insensitivity to heat leakages still applies

## **ONGOING ACTIVITIES**

- Data filtering and processing noise reduction and frequency decomposition
- Integration methodology into "standard" tests
- Testing at multiple frequencies at the same time



Thermal test correlation achieved based on frequency domain responses

- Without considering heat leaks
- Without the thermal balance data

This methodology seems to work, potential to gain capacity with minimum cost

• Works for both simple and complex models

Next steps:

- Feasibility of integrating methods in real tests
- Performing tests for multiple frequencies at the same time
- Apply on a real project

## **Questions?**





ATG EUROPE | ACCELERATING INNOVATION